

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/710,646	07/27/2004	Anthony Esposito	967.081USI	4325
21186 7	590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			DODSON, SHELLEY A	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	
		DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/710,646	ESPOSITO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHELLEY A. DODSON	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>AMEI</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,18-20 and 22-25 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,18-20 and 22-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction access access and the correction access access and the correction access access access and the correction access	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	SHELL	EY A. DODSON RY EXAMINER	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.

Applicant's arguments filed August 17, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Claims 1-15, 18-20 and 22-25 are now pending in this application.

2.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3.

The rejection of record made under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Gutierrez et al USP No. 5,871,720 over claims 1-25 is hereby expressly withdrawn.

DOUBLE PATENTING

4.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. <u>In re Thorington</u>, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); <u>In re Voqel</u>, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); <u>In re Van Ornum</u>, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); <u>In re Longi</u>, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and <u>In re Goodman</u>, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5.

Claims 1-15, 18-20 and 22-25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 11/157,472. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application as well as the referenced application are claiming methods for improving the stability of an antiperspirant comprising preparing a blend of propylene glycol, dibenzylidene sorbitol and adding an antiperspirant salt complex with an amino acid salt.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7.

Claims 1-10, 12-15, 18-20, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mattai et al. USP No. 6,338,841.

Mattai et al disclose antiperspirant products with dibenzylidene sorbitol. In column 6, lines 5-25 Mattai further discloses that the antiperspirant further discloses hydroxypropyl cellulose and propylene glycol as the solvent of choice. In column 8, Mattai further discloses that the specific antiperspirant active is an aluminum zirconium tetrachlorohydrate with glycine or zinc glycinate. Mattai further discloses that the process temperatures are above 100° C to ensure good mixing. See all working examples. See lines 34-35 and claim 6 for this embodiment. In column 6, lines 55-65, Mattai discloses that other optional components may also be employed such as fragrances.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattai et al. USP No. 6,338,841 in view of Provancal et al. USP No. 5,643,558.

Mattai et al disclose antiperspirant products with dibenzylidene sorbitol. In column 6, lines 5-25 Mattai further discloses that the antiperspirant further discloses hydroxypropyl cellulose and propylene glycol as the solvent of choice. In column 8, Mattai further discloses that the specific antiperspirant active is an aluminum zirconium tetrachlorohydrate with glycine or zinc glycinate. See lines 34-35 and claim 6 for this embodiment.

Mattai further discloses that the process temperatures are above 100° C to ensure good mixing. See all working examples. In column 6, lines 55-65, Mattai discloses that other optional components may also be employed such as fragrances. Mattai discloses each and every aspect of the invention as claimed by applicant with the exception of the concentration of the propylene glycol being about 65% or greater.

disclose methods Provancal et al of making enhanced antiperspirant actives comprising aluminum zirconium salts and propylene glycols, sorbitols and sodium glycinate. Note column 6. Example 3 discloses that the propylene glycol is 62.7%. have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have prepared the antiperspirant products of Mattai employing the amount of propylene glycol of Provancal in view of the teaching of Provancal that said amounts can be varied in antiperspirant products comprising the same active ingredients employed by both references. Additionally, applicant's broad claims have not recitation of amounts and proportions of the propylene glycol which leads the examiner to believe that it is not a critical feature.

Information Disclosure Statement

10.

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted was noted and the submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement and made it of record.

Telephone Inquiries

11.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley A. Dodson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0612 and fax number (571) 273-0612. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached at (571) 272-0646.

fax phone number for the organization where this The application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. new location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence numbers to Group 1600. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. http://pairinformation about the PAIR system, see direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Shelley A. Dodson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1616

September 18, 2006